

J. Perinat. Med.
8 (1980) 158

Continuous fetal pH monitoring and neonatal Apgar score

T. Weber

Dept. of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, YA, Rigshospitalet,
University of Copenhagen, Denmark

During the last 10–15 years continuous monitoring of fetal heart rate/uterine contractions and discontinuous fetal blood sampling (FBS) with pH estimation have been applied in order to evaluate the condition of the fetus.

During the last 2–3 years continuous fetal scalp tissue pH measuring during labour has been investigated [5, 6]. The aim of this study was to evaluate the validity of continuous scalp tissue pH monitoring in predicting neonatal Apgar score.

1 Material and method

From September 1977 through February 1979, 152 patients were monitored during labour with a pH electrode (Kontron-Roche®) [6].

The last tissue pH value preceding delivery has been estimated as follows: if the pH electrode is functioning until delivery of the fetal head, the pH value just before this moment is considered "the pH value at delivery". If the electrode is not working during the last 0–10 minutes of labour, the pH value "at delivery" is estimated by extrapolation of the tracings, because this value correlates very well with the umbilical artery pH (see below). If the electrode stops working more than 10 minutes prior to delivery, the tracing has not been considered successful and is not included in the present investigation. If the tissue pH is equal to or less than 7.20 it is considered pathological.

APGAR scores of 9 or 10 one minute after delivery have been considered normal, whereas APGAR

Curriculum vitae

TOM WEBER was born and trained in Denmark. Since 1976 he has been working as a research fellow at the department of obstetrics and gynaecology (YA), Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen. The perinatal research has been in the area of fetal monitoring by pH electrodes and transcutaneous pO_2 electrodes.



scores of less than or equal to 8 one minute after delivery have been considered pathological (see discussion).

CTG leading to obstetrical operations have been considered pathological, whereas the CTG's not causing the obstetrical staff to interfere have been considered normal.

As a rule cardiotocographic patterns leading to obstetrical intervention consisted of one or more of the following patterns: late decelerations, severe variable decelerations, and acute bradycardia. A decreased variability was considered pathological only if found together with severe tachycardia or any of the above mentioned pathological patterns. As the allowed duration of a pathological CTG pattern before obstetrical operation had to be carried out was not fixed, this decision was made by the obstetrician in charge of the patient.

All CTG recordings were also seen by a senior obstetrician who was from another hospital and who did not know the outcome of the delivery. He also divided the CTG's into two groups: a pathological one in which operative intervention was needed, and a group in which no such operation seemed necessary.

The sensitivity (i. e. the proportion of "low APGAR" children found by the described method) and the specificity (i. e. the proportion of high APGAR children found by the method) have been calculated for tissue pH monitoring and CTG monitoring.

The patients were also grouped according to the CTG and the tissue pH "at delivery" versus the APGAR score, the above mentioned limits for CTG, pH, and APGAR score being used.

The obstetrical management of the patients has not been influenced by the tissue pH value, which was not known to the obstetrician in charge of the patient.

2 Results

Table I illustrates the quality of the recordings obtained and the APGAR scores of the neonates one and five minutes after delivery, the mean tissue pH "at delivery" being compared to the APGAR scores one minute after delivery. Furthermore, the material is divided into two groups according to the umbilical artery pH at delivery ($\text{pH} \leq 7.20$ or > 7.20).

Eighty-one recordings allowed a comparison between the fetal scalp tissue pH at delivery and the neonatal APGAR score one minute after delivery (Tab. I).

Tab. I. Quality of pH recordings, distribution of APGAR scores one and five minutes after delivery, and distribution of umbilical artery pH at delivery.

Quality of recordings*		No	Per cent
Acceptable		81	53
Not acceptable		71	47

APGAR score (one minute after delivery)	No	(§)	Per cent	(§)	Tissue pH "at delivery" (mean, SEM)	
10	58	(32)	38	(40)	(7.25,	0.01)
9	55	(29)	36	(36)	(7.26,	0.01)
8	21	(14)	14	(18)	(7.21,	0.03)
7	11	(4)	7	(5)	(7.15,	0.03)
6	1	(0)	1	(0)	—	—
5	1	(0)	1	(0)	—	—
0-4	5	(2)	3	(2)	(7.18 & 7.31)	

APGAR score (five minutes after delivery)	No	(§)	Per cent	(§)
10	137	(75)	90	(93)
9	11	(3)	7	(4)
8	3	(3)	2	(4)
0-7	1	(0)	1	(0)

Umbilical artery pH (at delivery)	No	(§)	Per cent	(§)
> 7.20	100	(56)	70	(71)
≤ 7.20	42	(23)	30	(29)

* Acceptable (for correlation to neonatal APGAR score): stable pH values until at least 10 minutes prior to delivery.
Not acceptable (for correlation to neonatal APGAR score): no satisfactory recording during the last part of delivery (more than 10 minutes).

§ No and per cent in brackets refer to the infants with acceptable pH recordings.

A positive correlation ($r = 0.83$, $p < 0.01$) was found between the umbilical artery pH and the pH value "at delivery" in cases where extrapolation during the last 0–10 minutes of labour was necessary (results not shown).

Tab. II shows correlations between tissue pH and APGAR scores. The sensitivity of tissue pH is 67 per cent, the specificity is 73 per cent.

The evaluation of the CTG recordings of all the patients made by the staff of the obstetrical department resulted in either obstetrical operation or no such operation. The sensitivity of CTG in detecting children who would have low APGAR scores was 42 per cent (Tab. II). This means that 58 per cent of the neonates having APGAR scores of 8 or less one minute after delivery were not detected by CTG. The specificity of the method was 81 per cent (Tab. II). This means that only 19 per cent of the neonates delivered by obstetrical operation because of pathological CTG had high APGAR scores (9 or 10) one minute after delivery. The evaluation based upon the senior obstetrician who did not know the outcome or the course of labour showed almost identical results, namely a sensitivity of 42 per cent and a specificity of 75 per cent.

There was no statistical difference ($p > 0.10$, Fourfold Table Test) between the sensitivity and the specificity of tissue pH measurements and CTG monitoring.

Table III shows that in case of a normal pH and a pathological CTG no neonates obtained APGAR scores of less than 8. Only one infant obtained an APGAR score of 8 with a normal pH and a pathological CTG. This infant was delivered by outlet forceps because of severe variable decelerations and had an APGAR score of 10 five minutes after delivery (tissue pH 7.30, umbilical artery pH 7.33 at delivery). The other six neonates with a normal tissue pH but an APGAR score of 0–8 were considered "normal" by CTG as well. Six neonates with APGAR scores of 9–10 were delivered by obstetrical operations (one outlet forceps, five "early" episiotomies) because of a "pathological" CTG but with a normal tissue pH. So, although there is no statistical difference between the sensitivity and specificity of tissue pH and CTG, only one child (APGAR 8) would not have been "saved"

Tab. II. Sensitivity and specificity of tissue pH monitoring and of cardiography (CTG) in predicting neonatal APGAR scores one minute after delivery.

	Tissue pH ≤ 7.20	Tissue pH ≥ 7.21
APGAR ≤ 8 (No of patients)	14	7
APGAR ≥ 9 (No of patients)	16	44

Sensitivity $14/21 = 67$ per cent;
specificity $44/60 = 73$ per cent.

	Pathological CTG (see text)	Normal CTG
APGAR ≤ 8 (No of patients)	15	21
APGAR ≥ 9 (No of patients)	22	94

Sensitivity $15/36 = 42$ per cent;
specificity $94/116 = 81$ per cent.

by using tissue pH monitoring alone. Six unnecessary obstetrical operations were carried out because of suspected pathological CTG's. This could have been omitted by continuous pH measurements.

3 Discussion

The condition of the neonates in this material is estimated by the APGAR score one and five minutes after delivery. Other scoring systems have been proposed but have been given up shortly after their introduction. Although the APGAR scoring is not a very precise indicator of the overall condition of the neonate and of the prognosis of later development of the infant [3], no better way has yet been proven effective.

Unfortunately, the pH of the fetus (during labor or in the umbilical arterial blood after delivery) has not been compared to the development of the infant in any major material, the pH possibly being of higher prognostic value than the APGAR score. At present, the only way of evaluating different methods of fetal monitoring is to let the monitoring system discriminate between fetuses having low and high APGAR scores.

In a study based on the previous literature on the subject WEBER [7] has compared the sensitivity and specificity of pH measurements after fetal blood sampling with cardiotocography. The sensi-

tivity of CTG was 50–80 per cent, but only after evaluation of the CTG by a few or one expert(s) or by a computer – after delivery had taken place. The specificity of CTG was 50–90 per cent in the same study. The sensitivity of FBS-pH was 50–70 per cent and the specificity was 80–90 per cent. In the above mentioned study the APGAR score limit was between 6 and 7, while the limit was defined as being between 8 and 9 in the present study. An APGAR limit between 8 and 9 is high, but very few of the neonates in the present material had low APGAR scores (Tab. I: Only 2 and 0 per cent of the infants with acceptable recordings had APGAR scores of less than 7 one and five minutes after delivery, respectively). As the ultimate goal must be to deliver healthy infants, we have chosen this high limit. A distinction between children with APGAR scores of 9–10 and 0–8 also seems reasonable as mean tissue pH “at delivery” declines from 7.26 to 7.21 when the APGAR score declines from 9 to 8 (Tab. I).

At present, the discussion as to the value of the APGAR score one minute after delivery versus five minutes after delivery in predicting future prognosis of the infant is still going on. Two recent studies [1, 4] indicate that the APGAR score one minute after delivery correlates better to later neurological status (at one year of age) than does the APGAR score five minutes after delivery. Earlier reports [2, 3] find however that the APGAR score after five minutes is better in predicting later development of the infant. In the present material, the small number of children with low APGAR scores five minutes after delivery (Tab. I) made it impossible to calculate sensitivity and specificity of the APGAR scores both one and five minutes after delivery.

As it should be expected to be more difficult to discriminate between APGAR scores of 9–10 versus 0–8 than to discriminate between scores of 7–10 versus 0–6, and as the CTG recordings in this study were not estimated by experts or computers, the sensitivity of CTG (42 per cent) found in this study is not surprisingly low.

4 Conclusion

This study shows that 67 per cent of infants with an APGAR score of 8 or less can be detected by

continuous pH measurements using 7.20 as the limit. Reading the pH meter digits is easier than interpretation of the CTG. The sensitivity of tissue pH measurements of 0.67 is as good as the sensitivity of FBS-pH, although tissue pH monitoring in the present study should also “find” neonates with APGAR scores of 7 and 8. This is done without lowering the specificity of the method (73 per cent), which is almost as good as the specificity of earlier studies (80–90 per cent) [7].

The use of tissue pH monitoring instead of CTG would not have raised the number of obstetrical operations. If CTG and tissue pH are combined better results would be expected than using one or the other (see Tab. III), but this cannot be evaluated before the CTG and the tissue pH are both used in the management of labor.

Tab. III also shows that if action is not taken when the CTG is normal and the tissue pH is

Tab. III. The relationship between CTG + tissue pH and neonatal APGAR score one minute after delivery in the case with acceptable pH recordings (Definitions: see text).

	CTG:	Patho-	Normal	Patho-	Normal
		logical		logical	
		Normal	Patho-	Patho-	Normal
	pH:		logical	logical	
		(No)	(No)	(No)	(No)
APGAR	0–4*	0	0	1	1
APGAR	7*	0	2	1	1
APGAR	0–7/8–10 0/7		2/18	2/8	2/42
APGAR	8	1	6	4	4
APGAR	0–8/9–10 1/6		8/12	6/4	6/38

Sensitivity of pH (APGAR limit 7/8): $4/6 = 67$ per cent

Specificity of pH (APGAR limit 7/8): $49/75 = 65$ percent

Sensitivity of CTG (APGAR limit 7/8): $2/6 = 33$ per cent

Specificity of CTG (APGAR limit 7/8): $60/75 = 80$ percent

* Of the six children with APGAR scores of 0–7, four had an uncomplicated neonatal period (APGAR scores of 4/10, 4/10, 7/8, 7/8 one/five minutes after delivery); one infant was observed for cyanosis but was found to be normal by the neonatologists (APGAR score 7/9 one/five minutes after delivery); and the last neonate (APGAR score 7/8 one/five minutes after delivery) had fetal tachycardia (about 190–210) detected three weeks before delivery and was born with cardiac incompensation; third trimester intrauterine infection was suspected but never proven and the neonate died 9 days old from cardiac incompensation (no other explanations were found at the autopsy).

pathological 8/21 infants will be delivered with APGAR scores of 0–8. CTG therefore is not always enough to detect all cases that could benefit from pH monitoring. In order to achieve the best possible results in the future all fetal monitoring should include monitoring of fetal tissue pH. However, this requires the availability of a better electrode.

As extrapolation of the pH curve (10–0 minutes prior to delivery) made it possible to predict the umbilical artery pH ($r = 0.83$, $p < 0.01$) it should

be possible to predict the pH for at least 10 minutes and therefore to take action before the fetus reaches a critical pH level. Also, spontaneous delivery can continue until at least 10 minutes before the critical pH level is reached, thus saving some obstetrical operations.

As the pH electrode is still difficult to apply to the fetus and as there are still too many cases of unsuccessful pH recordings, tissue pH monitoring should only be used for investigational purposes at the present time.

Summary

Results of continuous fetal tissue pH monitoring were compared to neonatal APGAR scores one minute after delivery in 81 successful tissue pH recordings. The sensitivity of the method (i.e. the ability of the method to find the low APGAR neonates) was 67 per cent using an APGAR score limit between 8 and 9 and a pH limit between 7.20 and 7.21. The specificity (i.e. the ability of the method to find the high APGAR neonates) was 73 per cent using the same limits.

The sensitivity and specificity of continuous pH monitoring was equal to or better than the sensitivity and specificity of cardiotocography and discontinuous pH measurements on fetal scalp blood using APGAR score limits between 6 and 7.

It is concluded that all fetal monitoring should include monitoring of the fetal pH when a pH electrode which can be used as a routine instrument has been developed. Unfortunately, such an electrode has not been developed yet.

Keywords: Continuous fetal pH monitoring, fetal heart rate monitoring, fetal scalp sampling, intrapartum management, neonatal APGAR score.

Zusammenfassung

Kontinuierliche pH-Messung beim Feten und APGAR-Score

In 81 Fällen wurde eine kontinuierliche pH-Messung im fetalen Gewebe vorgenommen und die Ergebnisse den APGAR-Score-Werten 1 Minute post partum gegenübergestellt. Um die Sensitivität unserer Methode (d.h., die Wahrscheinlichkeit, Kinder mit niedrigem APGAR-Score zu erfassen) zu prüfen, legten wir ein Intervall zwischen 8 und 9 hinsichtlich des APGAR-Scores und den Grenzbereich zwischen 7.20 und 7.21 hinsichtlich des pH's zugrunde. Unter Benutzung dieser Intervalle betrug die Sensitivität 67%. Die Spezifität der Methode (d.h. die Wahrscheinlichkeit, Kinder mit höheren APGAR-

Werten zu erfassen), lag bei 73% unter Benutzung derselben Grenzwerte.

Die Sensitivität und Spezifität einer kontinuierlichen pH-Überwachung war vergleichbar, wenn nicht sogar besser als die Sensitivität und Spezifität von Kardiotokographie und diskontinuierlichen pH-Messungen im fetalen Kopfblut, wobei APGAR-Grenzwerte zwischen 6 und 7 zugrunde lagen.

Die fetale Überwachung sollte daher auch die kontinuierliche pH-Messung einschließen. Das setzt allerdings voraus, daß eine pH-Elektrode, die als Routineinstrument verwendbar ist, entwickelt wird. Leider können wir zur Zeit auf eine solche Elektrode noch nicht zurückgreifen.

Schlüsselwörter: Fetale Kopfbloodanalyse, Geburtsleitung, kontinuierliche fetale pH-Überwachung, neonataler APGAR-Score, Überwachung der fetalen Herzfrequenz.

Resumé

Monitoring continu du pH foetal et score néonatal D'APGAR

Les résultats du monitoring continu du pH tissulaire foetal ont été comparés aux scores néonataux D'APGAR

à une minute après l'expulsion au cours de 81 enregistrements réussis de pH tissulaire. La fiabilité de la méthode (c'est-à-dire sa capacité de détecter les nouveau-nés au score D'APGAR bas) a été de 67% en utilisant des limites

de score D'APGAR allant de 8 à 9 ainsi que de pH comprises entre 7,20 et 7,21. La spécificité de la méthode (c'est-à-dire sa capacité de détecter les nouveau-nés au score D'APGAR élevé) était de 73% en utilisant les mêmes valeurs limites.

La fiabilité et la spécificité du monitoring continu du pH étaient égales ou meilleures par rapport à celles de la

cardiotocographie et des mesures de pH discontinues sur le scalp foetal en utilisant des limites de score D'APGAR comprises entre 6 et 7.

Il en résulte que tout monitoring foetal doit comprendre celui du pH foetal lorsque l'électrode de pH aura été développée en tant qu'instrument de routine. Malheureusement ce n'est point encore le cas.

Mots-clés: Conduite à tenir intrapartum, échantillons au scalp foetal, monitoring continu du pH foetal, monitoring de la fréquence cardiaque foetale, score néonatal D'APGAR.

Acknowledgement: This work was supported by the "DAGMAR MARSHAL Fund".

Bibliography

- [1] ANDRE, M., P. VERT, C. DEBRUILLE: Diagnostic et évolution de la souffrance cérébrale chez les nouveau-nés ayant présenté des signes d'hypoxie foetale. *Arch. Fr. Pédiatr.* 35 (1978), 23
- [2] APGAR, V.: The newborn (APGAR) scoring system. *Pediatr. Clin. North Am.* 13 (1966) 645
- [3] DRAGE, J. S., H. BERENDES: APGAR score and outcome of the newborn. *Pediatr. Clin. North Am.* 13 (1966) 635
- [4] PAINTER, M. J., R. DEPP, P. D. O'DONOGHUE: Fetal heart rate patterns and development in the first year of life. *Amer. J. Obstet. Gynec.* 132 (1978) 271
- [5] STURBOIS, G., S. UZAN, D. ROTTEN, G. BREART, C. SUREAU: Continuous subcutaneous pH measurement in human fetuses. *Amer. J. Obstet. Gynec.* 128 (1977) 901
- [6] WEBER, T., S. HAHN-PEDERSEN, J. E. BOCK: Continuous fetal tissue pH recordings during labor. *Brit. J. Obstet. Gynaec.* 85 (1978) 770
- [7] WEBER, T.: The validity of discontinuous pH-measurements on fetal blood and of cardiotocography in predicting neonatal APGAR score. *Dan. Med. Bull.* 26 (1979) 186

Received September 11, 1979. Revised January 28, 1980. Accepted February 12, 1980.

Dr. Tom Weber
Skraaplanet 22
DK-2750 Ballerup
Denmark